EX CIVIL 156/19
VIMAL ANEJA Vs. GAURAV KUMAR

02.08.19

Statement of bailiff Sh. Vinod Kumar Sharma, Posted at Nazarat Branch, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi.

On SA

On 23.05.19, as per the order of the court, I alongwith DH visited the suit property of JD i.e Property bearing no. E-22, Ground Floor, Arya Samaj Road, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi for execution of warrants of possession but the property was found locked. On equiry from neighbourhood, it was informed that JD left the said address several days back. Since there was no order of breaking open of the locks of the doors of the suit property, warrants could not be executed and possession could not be delivered to the DH. I also recorded the statement of DH to this effect. My report Ex. P-1 is true bearing my signature at point A.

RO&AC

(Arun Kumar Garg)
ACJ-CCJ-ARC (SW)
Dwarka Courts: 02.08.19

EX CIVIL 156/19
VIMAL ANEJA Vs. GAURAV KUMAR

02.08.19

Present: Sh. Amar Singh counsel for DH.
None for JD.
Bailiff Sh V.K.Sharma, in person

An application U/o 21 rule 35 (3) r/w section 151 CPC has already been filed on behalf of DH seeking permission to break open the locks of the doors and windows of the suit property for taking over of possession of the suit property in terms of decree dt. 26.03.19. In view of submissions made in the application as well as the statement of bailiff in support of his report dated 23.05.19, issue fresh warrants of possession of the suit property on filing of fresh PF within 07 days from today.

Put up for appointment of bailiff on 22.08.19 and for report of bailiff on 28.08.19. In case the premises is found locked, bailiff shall be at liberty to break open the locks of the doors and windows of the suit property for execution of warrants of possession.

(Arun Kumar Garg)
ACJ-CCJ-ARC (SW)
Dwarka Courts:02.08.19