$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ TEST.CAS. 35/2022

SOM DATT SHARMA & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bimlesh Kumar & Mr. Sushil Kumar Singh, Advs.

versus

STATE & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Surbhi Soni, Advocate for Mr. Divyam Nandrajog, Panel Counsel for GNCTD/ for R-1.

Ms. Jayant Panwar, Adv. for R-2 to 5 (through VC).

None for R-6 to 12.

Right of R-6 to file objections was already closed vide order dated 15.03.2023.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)

O R D E R

% 07.02.2024

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

I.A. No. 5945/2022 (for condonation of delay of 495 days in re-filing petition).

1. Right of respondents no.1 and 6 to file reply to the captioned IA was already closed.
2. Ld. Counsel for respondents no.7 to 12 already submitted that the said respondents did not want to file any reply to the captioned IA and they have no objection if the same is allowed.

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 24/10/2026 at 16:32:05

3. Perusal of record shows that reply to the captioned IA was already filed on behalf of the respondents no.2 to 5. No rejoinder to the said reply has been filed on behalf of the petitioner despite last opportunity. Hence, right of the petitioner to file the same stands closed.
4. Pleadings qua the captioned IA are complete.

TEST.CAS. 35/2022

1. As per office noting, citations in 'The Statesman' and 'Navbharat Times' both dated 20.10.2023 have been filed on behalf of the petitioner vide diary no.27108 dated 29.11.2023.
2. Office noting also shows that citation in 'The Statesman' has been received from The Statesman Ltd. vide diary no.24438 dated 30.10.2023.
3. Office noting further shows that notice sent to concerned SDM of area of AGCR Enclave, Delhi has been served. However, valuation report is still awaited.
4. At request, let fresh notice be issued to concerned SDM for filing valuation report in terms of the previous order for next date of hearing.
5. Perusal of record shows that No Objection were already filed on behalf of respondents no.7 to 12.
6. Perusal of record shows that objections/reply to the main petition alongwith separate affidavits of admission/denial of documents were already filed on behalf of respondents no.2 to 5.
7. Office noting shows that rejoinder/reply to the objections/ reply of the respondent alongwith affidavit of admission/ denial of documents has been filed on behalf of petitioner vide diary no.2114661/2023.

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 24/10/2026 at 16:32:05

8. Pleadings qua respondents no.2 to 12 are complete.
9. Ld. Counsel for petitioner submits that respondent no.6 has expired without leaving any legal heir. He further submits that he will take appropriate steps as per law. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
10. Re-notify the present matter for further proceedings on **10th July, 2024.**

DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
FEBRUARY 7, 2024/v

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 24/10/2026 at 16:32:05