$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ **CRL.REV.P. 465/2023 & CRL.M.A. 10933/2023**

JAHIDUL KHAN & ANR. .....Petitioners
Through: Mr. K.S. Chaudhary, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI .....Respondents
Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for the State.
SI Rohitash, PS Neb Sarai.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

O R D E R

% 04.09.2024

1. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners had been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioners were not named in the FIR and also were not named by any of the witnesses in their statement under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

2. He submits that the specific role has been alleged against the co-accused of hitting the complainant on head with a rod. However, the petitioners were never named by any of the witnesses. He submits that even the TIP was never conducted.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State seeks adjournment to address arguments.

4. At his request, list on 19.09.2024.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 4, 2024
"SK"

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 28/10/2026 at 16:38:27